

SECTION 301 DETERMINATION: CHINA'S ACTS, POLICIES, AND PRACTICES RELATED TO TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND INNOVATION Docket No. USTR-2018-0026 US-China Business Council July 27, 2018

The US-China Business Council (USCBC) represents 200 American companies across all industries and sectors that are engaged in business with China, which support millions of American jobs. As we have noted in previous submissions, ensuring market-based decisions on technology transfer and protecting intellectual property (IP) are priorities for many of our members. Eliminating policies that harm US companies, such as those identified in USCBC's submission for USTR's Section 301 investigation, is a goal that USCBC supports. We urge the administration to seek measurable, commercially meaningful outcomes that will improve the business environment in China and level the playing field for American workers and companies.

Constructively working with like-minded partners has proven to be an effective method to altering adverse Chinese policies. The WTO dispute settlement case filed by the United States in March is a good example of how the United States should seek those types of outcomes. USTR's request for consultation, based on the evidence detailed in the 301 investigation report, has been joined by five WTO members. This is a clear indication that our like-minded global trading partners are eager to work with the United States in ways consistent with international agreements to address common concerns regarding China's trade and investment policies. We encourage you to undertake more actions that include this kind of cooperation.

The proposed additional tariffs on \$200 billion of Chinese imports by the administration, in response to China's retaliatory tariffs related to the Section 301 remedies that began to be implemented on July 6, are unlikely to eliminate the policies that harm American companies. Tariffs, particularly at the combined value of \$250 billion and covering half of all goods imported to the United States, are also likely to do significant damage to US economic interests. To this end, USCBC recommends that all tariff lines listed in the Request for Comment be removed. In addition, acting unilaterally makes American companies targets of retaliation that will result in loss of market share to other countries – the type of disproportionate economic harm that the Request for Comments inquired about. If the United States were instead to act in concert with like-minded trading partners to seek changes in China's policies and practices, it would avoid or lessen the risk of US companies being singled out for retaliation.

A holistic approach that considers the economic effects of US actions is needed to effectively address China's explicit and implicit trade and investment barriers. As a consequence, we urge you to reconsider the possible broad imposition of tariffs to achieve targeted trade goals. We urge a comprehensive and strategic approach that clearly articulates the goals we are trying to

achieve, setting short, medium, and long-term negotiating objectives to address industry concerns and build much-needed confidence that China will follow through on its commitments.

To be clear: the Section 301 investigation launched in August 2017 into China's IP and tech transfer policies accurately identified key issues that need to be addressed. The problem of overcapacity in steel, aluminum, and other sectors, exacerbated by Chinese oversupply, also needs to be effectively addressed. China should substantially improve market access and competitive conditions for foreign companies selling to and investing in China. Such steps should include increased policy transparency, predictability, and implementation lead times in China, as well as elimination of explicit and implicit localization requirements that often favor domestic companies. Unilateral tariffs will address none of these issues, however, and will inflict real economic harm on US businesses and consumers. A better approach would be to work with like-minded countries to develop common or parallel approaches that address these issues with China, rather than singularly expose US companies and workers to retaliation. Working with our trading partners will also keep the focus where it should be: resolving China's problematic policies in ways that will bring about positive change in the commercial relationship.

The administration's planned actions in response to the 301 investigation results have also included investment restrictions. As previously noted, USCBC is pleased that the administration announced on June 27 that it will use existing tools for investment reviews and technology export controls to manage the concerns about technology transfers to China. As those tools are reviewed and updated, it will be important to ensure that we have the right balance between our national security and economic interests. American national security must be protected. At the same time, inbound and outbound investment are important drivers of economic growth and jobs in the United States. As USCBC said in testimony during previous phases of this case, national security restrictions on economic activity, including investment, should be as narrowly applied as possible and tied to credible, identified security risks. Implementation of revisions to US foreign investment review mechanisms and export controls, once passed by Congress, should maintain this approach and incorporate the best advice from all stakeholders, including the business community.

In support of the mutually held goal of resolving the issues identified in the 301 investigation for the long-term benefit of US companies and the global economy, USCBC recommends that the United States put in place with China a regularized, results-oriented dialogue focused on measurable, commercially meaningful outcomes that address the valid concerns of American and other foreign companies. Successful negotiations would include the following elements, and would bring about fundamental change in how foreign companies are treated in China:

• <u>Treat all companies legally established under China's Company Law equally,</u> <u>regardless of ownership nationality</u>. Separate but equal licensing systems for domestic and foreign companies are never equal. Terminology in China's laws and regulations that distinguish between domestic and foreign-owned companies, such as "foreign-invested enterprises," invites discriminatory treatment of various types of domestic legal entities, based solely on ownership. China is developing a new draft foreign investment law, providing a timely opportunity to address this issue.

- <u>Eliminate joint venture requirements and foreign equity limitations</u>. Investment restrictions remain not only in China's foreign investment negative list, but also in catalogues such as for telecommunications. Allowing foreign companies to own 100 percent of their operations in China would eliminate the risks of transferring technology to a JV partner and enable companies to better protect their IP.
- <u>Adopt the WTO-consistent deterrent of criminal penalties in all cases of commercialscale infringement and broaden the use of higher penalties and stronger deterrents in both civil and criminal cases against all types of IPR infringement—including patent, copyright, trademark, and trade secrets violations</u>. Currently, China maintains a system of thresholds that determine whether an IP violator will be subject to a fine versus the stronger deterrent of criminal sanctions. IP violators exploit these thresholds to avoid criminal sanctions; for those who get caught, paying a fine merely represents a cost of doing business and does little to deter piracy. Implementing a genuine deterrent would benefit all companies and IPR holders in China, as President Xi Jinping just stated in a speech in early April. In addition, China should clarify that the rights to the intellectual property should be designated to the inventor.
- <u>Require officials involved in licensing and approval processes to implement regulations</u> <u>based on the explicit details included in those measures, rather than allowing</u> <u>interpretation of rules and intent.</u> Companies regularly report that implementation of laws and regulations remains uneven and inconsistent, impacting both Chinese and foreign companies. While most companies in USCBC's annual membership surveys report that the licensing problems they have experienced have been at the central government level, about one-third of companies have also experienced these problems with provincial and local authorities. Regardless of the level, when officials make such requests, companies should have a reliable channel to report abuses and to appeal adverse decisions when their applications are denied due to those factors, without fear of retaliation. Merger reviews and other licensing and regulatory decisions should be based on consistent, scientific, marketbased calculations and not on US-China politics.

USCBC's submissions for each of the Section 301 public comment periods have included additional, specific recommendations of actions China can take that would also address the IP and tech transfer concerns. A summary of those recommendations is again attached for reference. We encourage you to use these recommendations as the basis for measurable, commercially meaningful outcomes.

USCBC looks forward to working with the Trump Administration to effectively address trade issues with China through bilateral dialogues and other means. To be effective, a comprehensive approach is indeed necessary – one that addresses tariffs, licensing and other non-tariff barriers, and prioritizes bilateral trade and investment agreements to create a more level playing field for American companies to protect intellectual property and access the Chinese market.

Attachment



ATTACHMENT: US-China Business Council recommendations to address issues identified in Section 301 investigation

To act quickly to address immediate concerns, but to also allow reasonable negotiation and implementation timelines for other needed changes, the US-China Business Council recommends the following recommendations for actions to address the issues raised in the Section 301 investigation. Short term goals should be implemented by the end of 2018, medium term goals by the end of 2019, and long term goals within a period of three to five years.

Issues identified in 301 investigation	USCBC Recommendation	Timeframe
General		
Section 301 provides a variety of options that the United States may use when it finds that trading partners' policies are unreasonable or discriminatory	• Any related trade actions taken by the United States should be compliant with US international trading obligations, able to withstand a challenge at the World Trade Organization, and address the concerns of American companies about the protection of their intellectual property and technology	Short term
	• Prioritize bilateral or multilateral agreements such as a bilateral investment treaty (BIT) and the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) with enforcement options tailored to deal with specific concerns, to ensure that progress made in these areas can be effectively locked in	Medium term
	• If existing agreements do not cover all of the United States' concerns, new agreements should be negotiated to do so	Long term
	• Work with like-minded countries in an effort to address China's policies that are inconsistent with both the letter and the spirit of the WTO's rules on national treatment, non-discrimination, IP protection and technology transfer	Short term
Intellectual property protection		•
Use of thresholds for determining IP infringement penalties does not deter theft	• Adopt criminal penalties for IP theft on a commercial scale	Short and Medium term
	• Continue to vigorously prosecute IP violations that occur on US soil	Short term
Government approval processes		
Requests for technology transfers by foreign companies during the approval process	• Treat all companies legally established under China's Company Law equally, regardless of ownership nationality	Short term

Issues identified in 301 investigation	USCBC Recommendation	Timeframe
	• Eliminate terminology in China's laws and regulations that distinguish between domestic and foreign-owned companies, such as "foreign-invested enterprises"	Medium term
	• Require officials involved in licensing and approval processes to implement regulations based on the explicit details included in those measures	Short term
	• Create a reliable channel to report abuses and to appeal adverse decisions when applications are denied due to inappropriate requests for technology transfer, without fear of retaliation	Short term
Inadequate protection of trade secrets	• Develop a trade secrets law with stakeholder input	Short and Medium Term
	• Make broader use of judicial procedures on preliminary injunctions and evidence preservation orders	Short term
	• Implement clearer measures requiring government agencies to protect confidential information collected from companies during government review processes	Short term
	• Reduce the high evidentiary burden that plaintiffs face during trade secrets cases	Short term
Expert panels may expose proprietary technology during the review process	• Prohibit expert panelists that have potential conflicts of interest and enhance trade secret protection mechanisms in review processes for any third-party reviews	Short term
	• Institute a formal process for applicants to dispute expert panel nominations where conflicts of interest exist, including a public timeline for consideration, review, and resolution of the dispute to minimize disruptions in the investment process	Medium term
	• Allow companies undergoing reviews to provide input on expert panel nominations	Short term
	• Require regulating agencies to provide updated and complete lists of approved experts to companies and allow them to nominate a certain number of experts to the panel	Short term
	• Require experts to support information requests with substantiated facts, commercial experience, and sound science	Short term
Government reviews favor domestic companies and may be influenced by political pressure	• Licensing and other government approval decisions should be made without prejudice against type of ownership, without influence from competing entities, and with consistent interpretation	Short term

Issues identified in 301 investigation	USCBC Recommendation	Timeframe
	• Finalize a high standard US-China bilateral investment treaty (BIT),	Medium term
	including a performance requirement to bar use of incentives to encourage	
	IP and tech transfers, investor-state and state-state dispute settlement	
Joint venture requirements and foreign equity limitat		
China's JV requirements and equity caps create opportunities for Chinese companies to request IP/tech transfers as a condition of partnerships	• Eliminate JV requirements and equity caps	Short term
	• Finalize a high standard US-China bilateral investment treaty (BIT) that eliminates JV requirements and equity caps	Medium term
	• In sectors where JV requirements remain, eliminate official and unofficial requirements to transfer sensitive trade secrets as a prerequisite for market access	Short term
Procurement		
Procurement-related policies that act as de facto IP or technology transfer requirements	• Mandate that regulations at all levels of government comply with China's WTO commitments on nondiscrimination and national treatment	Short term
	• Actively monitor the implementation at the provincial and local level of its commitments to treat IP owned and developed in other countries on an equal basis as IP owned or developed in China	Short, Medium and Long Term
	• Finalize the draft Administrative Measures for Government Procurement of Domestic Products, with modifications to ensure that goods and services provided by all legal entities in China are treated equally during procurement processes, regardless of ownership	Short term
	• Set a near term negotiating target for China to join the WTO's Government Procurement Agreement	Short term
Provincial and local innovation policies that include preferences for products using "indigenous" innovation are frequently interpreted as meaning products made by Chinese companies	• Mandate that sector-specific measures that promote or require the use of secure and controllable technologies as well as future implementing regulations and standards for the Cybersecurity Law, comply with China's WTO commitments on nondiscrimination and national treatment	Short term
Other mechanisms to regulate or intervene in U.S. co	mpanies' operations in China	
Domestic preferences		1
Made in China 2025 (MIC 2025)	• Eliminate all official and unofficial targets for global market share in specified sectors	Short term
	• Mandate that subsidies provided under MIC 2025 are nondiscriminatory and comply with China's obligations under the WTO Subsidies agreement by publicly reporting all assistance provided under the program to domestic and foreign companies to allow independent verification of compliance	Short, Medium and Long term

Issues identified in 301 investigation	USCBC Recommendation	Timeframe
	• Ensure that decisions on production of goods in sectors targeted by MIC	Short, Medium and
	2025 are based on market demand to prevent global overcapacity	Long term
Innovation policies imply or require ownership of IP in	• Mandate that regulations at all levels of government comply with China's	Short term
China to qualify for sale in its domestic market	WTO commitments on nondiscrimination and national treatment	
	• Actively monitor the implementation at the provincial and local level of its	Short, Medium and
	commitments to treat IP owned and developed in other countries on an	Long term
	equal basis as IP owned or developed in China	
HNTE program presents a de-facto bias against foreign	• Eliminating requirements for ownership of core proprietary IP in China or	Short term
companies that forces them to change their global	expanding the criteria to includes legally acquired, non-exclusive licensee	
corporate IP structure to transfer ownership of IP to	or usage rights	
China-based operations		
Import restrictions		
Import restrictions on legitimate products promote the	• Reduce import and distribution barriers of IP-intensive products	Short and Medium
sale of counterfeit products		term
	• Let the market determine imports and remove import quotas/tariff rate quotas (TRQs)	Medium term
<u>Rulemaking transparency</u>	1	1
Laws and regulations are not consistently released for	• Fully implement commitment to publish all draft trade and economic	Short and Medium
public comment prior to implementation	related laws, administrative regulations, and departmental rules for a full	term
	30-day comment period	
	• Go further by posting draft regulations on a designated website for a 60- or	Short term
D / (1	90-day public comment period	
Data flows		
China's internet restrictions create barriers to cross-	• Promote a reliable and open internet to allow the flow of information	Short, Medium and
border data flows	necessary for companies to engage in innovation and international commerce	Long term
	• Work with companies that operate internet-based businesses to develop	Medium term
	data flow solutions that will allow them to bring their services to Chinese	
	users	
	• Conduct a detailed analysis of the costs associated with restricting the	Medium term
	efficient flow of data in an innovative and global digital economy and	
	remove unnecessary security review regimes and data security licensing in	
	order to allow its transfer across national borders	
	• Align data flow policies with internationally-proven cybersecurity best	Medium term
	practices, including revising provisions in the Cybersecurity Law that	
	unnecessarily restrict the efficient flow of information	

Issues identified in 301 investigation	USCBC Recommendation	Timeframe
	• Allow copies of data to be sent abroad for analysis and processing in order to ensure operational efficiency and encourage innovation by using big data	Short term
	• Consult with international industry on global best practices for secure data management and develop related policies in a clear and transparent manner	Medium term
	• Become a party to the APEC Cross Border Privacy Rules System (CBPRS)	Medium term
<u>TIER</u>	T	1
The Technology Import and Export Regulations (TIER) and China's Contract Law includes conflicting provisions on dealing with IP infringement	• Change both TIER and the Contract Law to clarify that parties may negotiate who will bear the responsibility for infringement	Medium term
Market-based terms for licensing and technology-rela	ated negotiations	
China's JV requirements and foreign equity limitations create an unequal negotiation for foreign companies	• Eliminate JV requirements and equity caps	Short term
	• Finalize a high standard US-China bilateral investment treaty (BIT) that eliminates JV requirements and equity caps	Medium term
	• In sectors where JV requirements remain, eliminate requirements to transfer sensitive trade secrets as a prerequisite for market access	Short term
China's capital controls are sometimes interpreted to cover current account transactions such as the payment of dividends, royalties, and routine trade payments	• Issue further policy clarifications would be appropriate to ensure consistent application of the rules	Short term
Accounting procedures at some Chinese companies make it difficult for licensors to know if royalties have been paid in full	• Improve compliance with generally accepted accounting procedures (GAAP) or GAAP-like controls	Medium term
Acquisition of US companies and assets		
Chinese companies may be acquiring US assets to obtain technology and IP	• Use national security exceptions only when essential and narrowly targeted, and not for economic or commercial objectives or to protect or promote domestic companies versus foreign ones	Short, Medium and Long term
	• Proceed cautiously when considering expanding existing investment reviews to cover specific types of investment structures or business arrangements	Short, Medium and Long term
Cyber theft for commercial gain	· · · · ·	
China's government may be conducting or supporting unauthorized intrusions into US commercial computer networks or cyber-enabled theft of IP, trade secrets or confidential business information	• Full implementation of previous commitments to stop attempted intrusions, regardless of the source	Short, Medium and Long term